

**Approved by Board Motion EMAB Board Meeting**  
**#2 02-05-15 April 15<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup>, 2002**  
**Diavik Diamond Mine Site**

Day 1: April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2002

**Present:**

Robert Turner, Chair, North Slave Metis Alliance  
Floyd Adlem, Vice-chair, Government of Canada  
Doug Doan, Secretary-Treasurer, Government of the Northwest Territories  
Johnny Weyallon, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council  
Paul Partridge, Government of Nunavut  
Erik Madsen, Diavik Diamond Mines Inc.  
Gord McDonald, Diavik Diamond Mines Inc. (alternate)  
Florence Catholique, Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation  
Angus Martin, Alternate, Yellowknives Dene First Nation

**Staff:**

Kirstie Simpson, Executive Director, EMAB  
Lisa Hurley, GeoNorth (minutes)

**Guests:**

Eric Yaxley, Government of Canada (resource person)  
Clint Ambrose, Inspector for DIAND  
John McCullum, Consultant

**Absent:**

Lawrence Goulet, Yellowknives Dene First Nation  
Alex (Sandy) Buchan, Kitikmeot Inuit Association

---

Meeting called to order 12:40 pm.

Opening by Robert Turner & Prayer by Johnny Weyallon

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion / Recommendation</b>	<b>Action</b>
Roundtable of Introductions	Erik Madsen was introduced as the new DDMI representative, and Gord McDonald as the DDMI alternate representative.  Paul Partridge was introduced as the new Government of Nunavut representative.  John McCullum was introduced as an	

	<p>observer to the meeting who would be helping to set up a communications strategy for EMAB.</p>	
Approval of Agenda	<p>Changes to the order of the Agenda were made in order to accommodate the late start of the meeting.</p> <p><b>Motion: #1-01-04-15</b>          To accept the Agenda with changes.  <i>Moved:</i> Floyd Adlem  <i>Seconded:</i> Paul Partridge  <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously</p>	
DIAND Inspectors Update	<p>Clint Ambrose introduced himself, and indicated that he had just started as the Diavik Inspector during the last month as this is a period of reorganization for the DIAND Inspectors.</p> <p>He reviewed his last site report highlighting issues for the Board.</p> <p>This brought up the issue of the long term plans for the South Sewage Plant and its discharge location. It was explained that on November 10<sup>th</sup>, 2001 they began to pump to pond 11, this pond was close to full on April 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002 and they then began pumping to pond 10. There is approximately 80 days of pumping capacity in this pond, so when this is full they will have to consider other options. This is the case until the Amendment is finished going before the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board (MVLWB). Permanent operation of the mine will see the sewage pumped to the kimberlite containment area.</p>	
DDMI Presentations	<p><b><i>DDMI Environmental Agreement Annual Report</i></b>          Gord McDonald gave a quick “walk-through” of what is in the DDMI Environmental Agreement Annual Report. He also identified areas where he felt that input might be needed and noted that it will take some collaboration to get this report to the point where everyone wants it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Executive Summary – is what</li> </ul>	<p>Copies of the Environmental Agreement Annual Report were provided to the Board members for their review.</p> <p>A meeting will be organized between EMAB and DDMI to go</p>

	<p>they have appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggestion to have the Annual Reports for the different years identified by different colors.</li> <li>• Important to have understandable reports.</li> <li>• Original predictions should be included in the report so that comparisons to these can be made.</li> <li>• Issues with translation of the executive summary – ie. Some mistakes.</li> </ul> <p>There was some discussion of the term “rolling effects” and its definition. The term was clarified as being “rolling summary”. A suggestion was that a table be designed that could be manipulated. Things that are dealt with are taken off the table and new things are added as they come up. It is a way of looking at trends – would summarize from the first year to the present.</p> <p>There was a discussion on the incorporation and the Boards responsibilities with respect to Traditional Knowledge. The board had some discussion about whether or not they are fulfilling their responsibilities to TK. There was the suggestion that both DDMI and EMAB address TK responsibilities in their annual reports. It was also highlighted that any requirements that weren’t met in 2001 should be noted in the Annual Report.</p> <p><b>Project Schedule</b> Richard Lock reviewed the project schedule for the site and highlighted changes from previous schedules including where they were ahead or behind schedule.</p> <p><b>Water License Submissions</b> Erik Madsen gave a description of the Water License Annual Report (deadline March 31<sup>st</sup> every year). An accompanying CD is provided with the report, if hard copies of this material is preferred, DDMI</p>	<p>through DDMI’s Annual Report in detail and get EMAB comments. At this meeting DDMI will give a detailed presentation of the contents of the Annual Report.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>can provide this upon request. The review process for this report was explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The report is submitted to the MVLWB and then copies are provided to the Diavik Technical Committee (DTC) to review.</li> <li>• The DTC provides comments to the MVLWB and in turn submitted to DDMI.</li> </ul> <p>There was discussion of the role of EMAB in this process and the suggestion of having a Water Quality Workshop was brought up. This issue was deferred until April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2002.</p> <p>There was a discussion on the presentation of Annual Reports prepared by DDMI to the communities. At present it was noted that the only time these are seen by the communities is when they receive them in the mail with a covering letter. There was the discussion that there may be a need for the reports to be presented to the communities – details of this would have to be worked out.</p> <p><b>Winter Road</b> Erik Madsen gave a short presentation on the winter road including the number of loads etc. He agreed to provide copies of this PowerPoint presentation to the EMAB office.</p> <p>It was pointed out that there are gut piles along the winter road. Erik Madsen said that DDMI is aware of them. A small report is being prepared by the Joint-Venture that operates the road which documents this.</p> <p>There was some concern about the lack of monitoring on the winter road. It was pointed out that this is not a DDMI initiative but rather a Joint-Venture project and perhaps with permit approvals in the future there will be monitoring.</p> <p><b>Sewage Treatment Plants</b> Erik Madsen gave a brief PowerPoint presentation on the Sewage Treatment</p>	<p>Erik Madsen to provide copies of the PowerPoint presentation on the winter road to the EMAB office.</p> <p>Erik Madsen to provide copies of the PowerPoint presentation on the sewage treatment plants</p>
--	---	---

	Plants at the site. He agreed to provide copies of this PowerPoint presentation to the EMAB office.	to the EMAB office.
Site Tour	The meeting participants were given a tour of the site from 3:30 – 5:50 pm.	
Other	Clint Ambrose asked for clarification about EMAB’s expectations of the DIAND inspector. It was noted that the summary provided earlier in this meeting was fine. It would be appreciated if they could inform the Board about the issues and how they are being dealt with. Also provide the Board with the inspector’s reports. It was noted that a concern of the Board was that there is no inspector on-site. Clint Ambrose replied that he will try to be on-site quite regularly with both day and over night trips. It was pointed out that the previous inspector provided all pictures taken on site to the EMAB office on CD. Clint Ambrose agreed to do the same and also pointed out that all pictures he did take were included in his reports.	DIAND inspector to provide Inspector’s report to the EMAB office as well as pictures taken on site. At the request of the Board copies of the pictures on CD can be provided.
By-Law Amendment: Terms of the Executive of EMAB	<p>It was explained that the executive was originally elected for one year and that this year term has lapsed so a motion will be presented to the Board to change the reading of the By-Laws so that the term is no longer one year but from one AGM to the next.</p> <p>The circumstances of changing a motion at a regular meeting as opposed to just at an AGM were explained.</p> <p><b>Motion: #2-01-04-15</b> Part 4a) of the bylaws of the Environmental Monitoring Advisory Board reading “the society shall appoint a Chair, Vice Chair and Secretary Treasurer from among their number for a term of one year” shall be changed to read “The Society shall appoint a Chair, Vice Chair and Secretary Treasurer from among their number at each Annual General Meeting” <i>Moved:</i> Floyd Adlem <i>Seconded:</i> Johnny Weyallon <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously</p>	

<p>Financial Update</p>	<p>The Board was notified that there has been a change to bookkeepers to 5D Management and consequently the organization of accounts and how information is presented is different.</p> <p>Samples of financial statements prepared by 5D Management were provided to the Board in order to show what types of information can be provided when requested. Some adjustments would need to be made (ie. The money to be received from DIAND should be shown as a receivable but does not show up on the financial statement).</p> <p>Eric Yaxley reminded the Board about the 10% holdback on the contribution agreement from DIAND which will be paid once the required report has been received by DIAND.</p> <p>The Board was also informed that money from the GNWT has not been received yet .</p> <p>The budget for the upcoming year has not yet been finalized. It was noted that this might be easier to do once the workplan has been finalized so that financial priorities follow workplan priorities.</p> <p>There was some discussion on the status of the workplan and which board members might be available to work on it. Suggestion that Alex Buchan might stay for an extra day after the meeting to work on it with Floyd Adlem while the rest of the workplan committee was in computer training. The following day Floyd Adlem could meet with the rest of the workplan committee; Johnny Weyallon, Angus Martin and Florence Catholique to finalize it. This schedule is dependent on Alex Buchan being able to stay in Yellowknife for an extra day.</p>	<p>5D Management should be contacted about changes that need to be made to financial statements (DIAND contribution as a receivable).</p> <p>Alex Buchan &amp; Floyd Adlem to meet on April 17<sup>th</sup>, 2002 to work on EMAB workplan. (Alex Buchan was unable to stay for April 17<sup>th</sup>, 2002 so this meeting was canceled).</p> <p>Floyd Adlem, Johnny Weyallon, Angus Martin, and Florence Catholique to meet on April 18<sup>th</sup>, 2002 to work on EMAB's workplan.</p>
<p>Selection of Auditor</p>	<p>Quotes from two accounting firms in Yellowknife were provided to the Board</p>	

	<p>for their review.</p> <p><b>Motion: #3-01-04-15</b>          To retain the accounting firm of Michael Odell &amp; Associates as the auditor for the Environmental Monitoring Advisory Board.  <i>Moved:</i> Paul Partridge  <i>Seconded:</i> Doug Doan  <i>Carried</i>  <i>Abstentions:</i> Florence Catholique</p> <p>The timeline for the audit to take place was discussed. It was determined that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The information will be provided to the auditor within 30 days of them being notified that they were chosen.</li> <li>• The audit will be due as soon as possible but no later than June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002.</li> <li>• The audit will then be published in the annual report.</li> </ul>	<p>The accounting firm of Michael Odell &amp; Associates to be notified that they have been chosen as the auditor for EMAB.</p>
<p>Personnel Committee Report</p>	<p>A rundown of the activities of the personnel committee were provided to the Board. There was the suggestion that perhaps the communications position job description was slanted towards being quite technical and this may have deterred some people from applying.</p> <p>The Board was also provided with information as to why John McCullum was in attendance at the Board meeting. He was asked by the Chairperson to attend and observe the meeting. He may then work with the Board to develop a Communications Strategy/Plan. Discussion about this process took place and it was decided that it is good to have someone doing the work right now until the Board hires someone. It was decided that John McCullum would draft a Terms of Reference for a Communications Strategy to be provided to the Board with various options; any further work would proceed from there.</p> <p><b>Motion: #4-01-04-15</b>          John MaCullum to develop the concept</p>	<p>John McCullum to</p>

	<p>Terms of Reference for a Communications Plan based on discussions with Board members, within the authority of the Chairperson and the 5,000 dollar limit. The Board will review his cost estimates and terms of reference and decide on the next steps.</p> <p><i>Moved:</i> Floyd Adlem  <i>Seconded:</i> Doug Doan  <i>Discussion:</i> Points raised during the discussion were about the possibility of hiring someone after the communication plan developed by John McCullum was reviewed. An additional task could be to draft a job description. A deadline for the concept terms of reference was set for April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2002.  <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously  <i>Not present for the vote:</i> Erik Madsen &amp; Gord McDonald</p> <p>There was discussion on the development or reviewing of staffing protocols processes.</p>	<p>develop the concept Terms of Reference for a Communications Plan for the Board – deadline for this April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2002.</p> <p>Personnel committee to review and re-draft the personnel protocol and process.</p>
<p>Training opportunities for the Board</p>	<p>Information from Banff Executive Leadership Inc. was provided to the Board members as an information item for their review. There was discussion on who should attend, and the suggestion to bring alternates was agreed upon. Further discussion led to the suggestion that there may be northern consultants/firms who could do this type training/workshop. This will be looked into prior to making any final decisions.</p> <p>Further discussion on the different types of training the Board might need; ie. Board effectiveness training and training to help gain an understanding of the mandate and Environmental Agreement.</p> <p><b><i>Cumulative Effects Workshop</i></b>  Eric Yaxley informed the board that he had attended a Cumulative Effects Course on April 12<sup>th</sup>, 2002. He noted that it was a good course but done over too short a period of time, and 2 or 3 days might be better than 1. He suggested that perhaps it</p>	<p>Executive Director to look into the options re: consultants who provide training to boards. The board members were asked for suggestions. Summarize findings and present to the Board.</p> <p>The Board to consider holding a Cumulative Effects Workshop for both Board members and community people.</p>



	<p>could be a training opportunity for Board members and community people. DIAND may have some money available to help sponsor this event. It might also be something they could work with BHP Billiton on. The Board to consider this opportunity; similar format to Fish and Wildlife Workshops that have already been held by the Board.</p> <p><b>Motion: #5-01-04-15</b>  Eric Yaxley to look for money in DIAND and work with the Executive Director to find a date in the future for this workshop within this fiscal year.  <i>Moved:</i> Florence Catholique  <i>Seconded:</i> Johnny Weyallon  <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously</p>	<p>Eric Yaxley to look for money in DIAND and work with the Executive Director to find a date in the future for this workshop within this fiscal year. He also noted that when he obtains more information he will provide this to the Board.</p>
<p>Signs for the Building</p>	<p>Proposals submitted by companies in Yellowknife to prepare signs for EMAB were reviewed by the Board. The suggestion for the signs is to put the EMAB logo in one corner and write the words: Environmental Monitoring Advisory Board on it.</p> <p><b>Motion: #6-01-04-15</b>  To accept the Great Slave Graphics proposal to do signs for EMAB.  <i>Moved:</i> Floyd Adlem  <i>Seconded:</i> Paul Partridge  <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously</p>	<p>Great Slave Graphics to be notified that they have been chosen to do the signs for EMAB.</p>
<p>Water License Amendment.</p>	<p>There was a discussion on the details of the Water License Amendment. What was being amended was discussed as was the process of reviewing this amendment. A document prepared by Tim Byers (consultant to the Yellowknives Dene First Nation) was submitted and distributed to the Board. This report indicated support for the amendment but that issues arising from it would have to be dealt with through the AEMP.</p> <p>The Board was informed that most of the</p>	

	<p>regulators (EC, DIAND, DFO, GNWT) had submitted statements saying they did not feel that this amendment required a public hearing and that they had until April 19<sup>th</sup>, 2002 to submit something to the MVLWB stating how they felt.</p> <p>Further discussion on this issue was deferred until the next day (April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2002) so that Alex Buchan could be present and that Florence Catholique could speak with her leadership to ensure she was representing their feelings with respect to this.</p>	
<p>Upcoming Hearings (BHP Billiton &amp; DeBeers)</p>	<p>There was discussion about whether EMAB would like to intervene in either of these hearings. It was noted that IEMA would be intervening in the DeBeers hearing with respect to cumulative effects.</p> <p>There was some discussion on the costs associated with a community intervening at these hearings and whether EMAB would be willing to pay costs for a community board member to intervene on behalf of their community.</p> <p>There was concern about sending people to take on new projects when the Board was having difficulties meeting their mandate and things they had already committed to.</p> <p>There was the suggestion that perhaps a staff person could attend the hearing and take minutes which could be sent to the Board members for their review. It was also noted that this could also be done by a Board member. By using EMAB funds to send someone to attend these meetings there was discussion on the precedent which might be set (using funds to send people to hearings anytime/anywhere) how would it be limited? Further discussion on this issue was deferred until the next day, April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2002.</p>	

Meeting Adjourned at 10:00 pm.

**EMAB Board Meeting**

**April 15<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup>, 2002**  
**Diavik Diamond Mine Site**

*Day 2: April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2002*

**Present:**

Robert Turner, Chair, North Slave Metis Alliance  
Floyd Adlem, Vice-chair, Government of Canada  
Doug Doan, Secretary-Treasurer, Government of the Northwest Territories  
Johnny Weyallon, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council  
Paul Partridge, Government of Nunavut  
Erik Madsen, Diavik Diamond Mines Inc.  
Gord McDonald, Diavik Diamond Mines Inc. (alternate)  
Florence Catholique, Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation  
Angus Martin, Alternate, Yellowknives Dene First Nation  
Alex (Sandy) Buchan, Kitikmeot Inuit Association (PM only)

**Staff:**

Kirstie Simpson, Executive Director, EMAB  
Lisa Hurley, GeoNorth (minutes)

**Guests:**

Eric Yaxley, Government of Canada (resource person)  
John McCullum, Consultant

**Absent:**

Lawrence Goulet, Yellowknives Dene First Nation  
Alex (Sandy) Buchan, Kitikmeot Inuit Association (AM only)

---

Meeting convened at 8:35 am and was only attended by Board members.

**Motion: #1-01-04-16**

To have the meeting go in camera. (8:35am)

*Moved:* Florence Catholique

*Seconded:* Johnny Weyallon

*Carried:* Unanimously

**Motion: #2-01-04-16**

To have the meeting come out of camera. (9:00am)

*Moved:* Florence Catholique

*Seconded:* Johnny Weyallon

*Carried:* Unanimously

Agenda Item	Discussion / Recommendation	Action
-------------	-----------------------------	--------

<p>EMAB Annual Report &amp; Annual General Meeting</p>	<p>It was noted that the week of September 23<sup>rd</sup> – 27<sup>th</sup>, 2002 had been previously decided on for the Annual General Meeting (AGM).</p> <p>The discussion then turned to the timeline for the Annual Report. A letter to DDMI dated March 14<sup>th</sup>, stated that EMAB expected to release their Annual Report 30 days prior to their AGM.</p> <p>There was discussion on how the report would be done and a recap of the February 2002 meeting when this was discussed. Further discussion noted that the completion of the workplan would help the Annual Report as it could follow a similar format (ie. this is what we said we would do and this is what we have done).</p> <p>For the purpose of concise minutes, the discussion on the contents and possible layout of the Annual Report is summarized in the attached paper entitled: “Discussion on the Annual Report, April 2002.”</p> <p>The timeline and details for the Annual Report will be determined by a committee. However a suggestion to have the report out by August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002 would allow for flexibility with the timing of the AGM.</p> <p><b>Motion: #3-01-04-16</b>          To strike an Annual Report committee with Floyd Adlem as the head and Doug Doan, Paul Partridge, Eric Yaxley and Erik Madsen as members.  <i>Moved:</i> Paul Partridge  <i>Seconded:</i> Florence Catholique  <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously</p>	
<p>Roles and Responsibilities of Board Members</p>	<p>There was some discussion on a workshop which would outline the roles and responsibilities of Board members. It was noted that this was discussed in the previous day of meetings (April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2002).</p>	

	<p>It was noted that the Board has started some things (some to do with procedure issues) that have never been finished with the transitions in staff etc.</p> <p>There was a suggestion that a retreat type meeting outside of Yellowknife might be beneficial (ie. Trappers Lake).</p> <p>One of the Board members asked for clarification on the role of the Board members. Is it to take a document and review it, then bring comments back to the group? Or is it expected that EMAB staff will review the document and make recommendations on it?</p> <p>The Chairperson explained that the Board members are supposed to review the documents and arrive at the meetings with the view of the parties and then make recommendations and suggestions to DDMI. In the Environmental Agreement there is the eventual possibility of setting up both technical and traditional knowledge panels who could review the reports.</p> <p>There was discussion on what experts were available to help the Board members in the review of these documents. The Workshops that were held by EMAB on both fish and wildlife issues were noted as a way that recommendations could/were developed for RWED and DDMI. In this forum experts were able to present and Board members and community people were able to raise concerns and ask questions.</p>	
<p>Timeline for the next few months</p>	<p>The Board worked on developing a timeline for the upcoming months in order to gain an understanding of the commitments they had made.</p>	

<b>Item</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date</b>
<p>Completion of the EMAB Annual Report</p>		<p>August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002</p>
<p>Completion of Audit</p>		<p>June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002</p>
<p>Annual General Meeting</p>	<p>Suggestion that the AGM</p>	<p>Tentative date during the week</p>

	could be a half a day meeting close the meeting and then have a regular board meeting.	of September 23 <sup>rd</sup> – 27 <sup>th</sup> , 2002
Notification to members of the AGM	This needs to occur no less than one month prior to the meeting.	Following dates of AGM – tentatively no later than August 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2002
DDMI Annual Report Review	This needs to occur prior to May 15 <sup>th</sup> , 2002	Tentative meeting between DDMI & EMAB scheduled for May 6 <sup>th</sup> , 2002. Comments to the Minster due May 15 <sup>th</sup> , 2002.
Meeting of the Parties	Chair to give a presentation.	April 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 2002
Review of Annual Report of the Water License	This is not a priority of the Board.	No date set
Board workshop on Roles and Responsibilities		Tentative date: late May
Water Quality Workshop	Perhaps coordinate with DTC and have a joint information session. Concern about having this in Late May with everything else going on – suggestion to coordinate with Kugluktuk when they have their workshop the third week of June.	Late May
Comments on AEMP for upcoming year.		Comments due by Late June to influence the AEMP for the upcoming year.

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion / Recommendation</b>	<b>Action</b>
Other	<p>There was some discussion on the role of DTC and EMAB. Their roles need to be clarified.</p> <p>There was some concern about the amount of information that had to be reviewed by the Board. It was pointed out that the first year has an exceptionally high number of reports because it is the first year. There was also the suggestion that perhaps DDMI could provide a list of all the reports and EMAB could go through and choose which the high priorities are and which they could review.</p> <p><b>Motion: #4-01-04-16</b> To have a board workshop on the roles</p>	<p>Gord McDonald indicated that DDMI could provide an outline of what documents are due and their deadlines or when they could be made available to the Board.</p> <p>Organize a board</p>

	<p>and responsibilities of Board members  <i>Moved:</i> Florence Catholique  <i>Seconded:</i> Doug Doan  <i>Discussion:</i> Tentative date for workshop is late May  <i>Carried:</i> Unanimously</p>	<p>workshop on the roles and responsibilities of Board members.</p>
--	--	---

Break for Lunch – After Lunch Alex Buchan joined the meeting.

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion / Recommendation</b>	<b>Action</b>
<p>Water License Amendment</p>	<p>There was a recap of the Water License Amendment and the phosphorus issue for Alex Buchan.</p> <p>There was some discussion of the issues associated with the Water License Amendment. It was noted that all the information presented to the Board indicates that there is a general feeling away from a need for a hearing, therefore what would be the basis for the Board proceeding and calling for a hearing. The issues will still be discussed with the regulators and the Board it is just a matter of what forum is used to do this.</p> <p>Gord McDonald noted that the numbers for loading limits as well as a detailed explanation of the changes would take place at a time when appropriate. There is a meeting tentatively scheduled with DTC and government agencies for May 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> if the hearing doesn't take place.</p> <p>There was a general consensus from the Board that it wasn't necessary to request a public hearing but that EMAB would like to participate with any technical discussion and reserve the right to make their own recommendations before the amendment is finalized.</p>	<p>Executive Director to draft a letter stating that EMAB will not be requesting a public hearing and also noting that they would like to participate in any technical discussions and reserve the right to make their own recommendations before the Water License Amendment is finalized. This letter will be provided to the Board</p>

	<p>There was the suggestion that it would be good to have some type of Water Quality workshop or information session prior to any meetings relevant to the Amendment so that the Board has a better understanding of the issues. There was a suggestion to have Neil Hutchison, the consultant to DIAND come in and talk to the Board about phosphorus issues.</p> <p>The following schedule is proposed for the month of May if no hearing takes place:          May 1<sup>st</sup>: Phosphorus 101          May 2<sup>nd</sup>: Water License Amendment Technical Meetings          May 3<sup>rd</sup>: DDMI Environmental Agreement Annual Report Review</p>	<p>for their review.</p>
<p>Water Quality Workshop</p>	<p>Discussion on whether EMAB should hold their own Water Quality Workshop or whether they should hold one in conjunction with the KIA who are planning on having one June 18<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup>, 2002.</p> <p>Alex Buchan gave a brief run-down on what had been planned to date for this workshop. A hydrologist from British Columbia was being brought up and GeoSciences to facilitate. DDMI and DIAND Water Resources would be included. It was suggested that a couple of people from each aboriginal party could attend and EMAB could work cooperatively with Alex Buchan to organize.</p> <p><b>Motion: #5-01-04-16</b>          To have a joint workshop with KIA on Water Quality in Kugluktuk. EMAB would be responsible for the costs of one Board Member plus one person from each of the communities. Members from Government would cover their own costs and KIA would cover the costs of the facilitators.  <i>Moved:</i> Florence Catholique  <i>Seconded:</i> Alex Buchan</p>	<p>Organize a Water Quality Workshop in Kugluktuk in cooperation with the KIA.          * note. WQ workshop deferred.</p>



	<i>Carried: Unanimously</i>	
April 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 2002 Meeting of the Parties.	There was some discussion on the presentation that would be given by the Chairperson of EMAB at this meeting. Robert Turner will work with Floyd Adlem to develop notes for this presentation. The presentation will be informal with no overheads or PowerPoint.	Robert Turner & Floyd Adlem to work on presentation to be given at the Meeting of the Parties on April 22 <sup>nd</sup> , 2002.
Replies from RWED and DDMI to Caribou Recommendations made by EMAB	<p>Copies of the letters sent to EMAB by both RWED and DDMI in response to recommendations were distributed to the Board members for their review.</p> <p>Erik Madsen explained the site tours that were being organized for this year. There is coordination with BHP Billiton for a weekend trip so that caribou movements at the two sites can be observed. He noted that this project would be similar to what happened last year which prompted discussion that something more effective was needed to observe the caribou. The current plan was to fly people to Ekati on Friday, they would observe the caribou there and stay for two nights. Sunday, people would fly to Diavik and observe the caribou at this site. Monday people would return to Yellowknife.</p> <p>EMAB explained that having all groups on site at the same time was important, and it was noted by DDMI that the recommendations that EMAB submitted did not state this. DDMI suggested that EMAB provide them with specific recommendations on how the Caribou monitoring should be done by the communities. There was discussion about having a meeting to review recommendations made to DDMI and it was noted that even with a new recommendation the site visit for Caribou monitoring might not be able to be changed this year but could affect monitoring in coming years.</p> <p>The discussion then turned to RWED's response to EMAB's recommendations.</p>	<p>A letter to be drafted to clarify recommendations that have already been submitted to DDMI with respect to the Caribou monitoring program.</p>

	<p>Some Board members were unhappy with the response that was received. The discussion included EMAB's concern about outfitters in the area; the need for them to monitor caribou and the effect they are having on the caribou including the number that are being hunted.</p> <p>The Bathhurst Caribou Management Committee was brought up as a means of expressing concerns/recommendations that EMAB has.</p>	<p>A letter to be drafted to the Bathurst Management Committee to follow up on the recommendations that were originally sent to RWED. There should be some specifics on the concern re: outfitters in the area.</p>
<p>Review of "Summary of Wildlife Issues and Recommendations".</p>	<p><b>Motion: #6-01-04-16</b>          To adopt and submit recommendations as presented in the "Summary of Wildlife Issues and Recommendations"  <i>Moved:</i> Alex Buchan  <i>Seconded:</i> Florence Catholique  <i>Discussion:</i> It was noted that these recommendations do not include everyone's comments and the discussion turned to having putting this off until everyone has had a chance to review them. There was concern that may contain errors or need some changes. It was noted that once this is approved it becomes an official document and DDMI must respond to it within 60 days. DDMI also noted that they have begun to address some of these recommendations even though they have not been officially provided to them. Discussion turned to the fact that the Board has been talking about these recommendations and issues for quite a while and it is time that some action was taken.  <i>The Motion went to Vote and was Defeated</i></p> <p>It was decided that the timeline for reviewing this document would be as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comments to the EMAB office by Board members for April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2002.</li> </ul>	<p>Board members to review the "Summary of Wildlife Issues and Recommendations" and submit comments to the</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EMAB staff to prepared a document that incorporates these recommendations and distribute to Board members for April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2002.</li> <li>• Document to be voted on at the meeting of the Board in the first week of May, 2002.</li> </ul>	<p>EMAB office by April 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2002. EMAB staff to incorporate changes and distribute to Board members for April 26<sup>th</sup>, 2002. Document to be voted on at Board meeting in early May 2002.</p>
<p>Fish Issues</p>	<p>Floyd Adlem informed the Board that he had spoken to DFO specialists who had reviewed the fish information and did not see anything to cause them concern. They conducted a literature review and are compiling this information. They are also looking at doing an off-site compensation policy and will inform the Board about this. Further discussion on this issue was deferred until the next Board meeting.</p> <p>The Board was asked if they wanted a document for the fish issues similar to that which was done for the wildlife issues. They agreed that this was a good format.</p>	<p>Add discussion of Fish Issues to the agenda for the next meeting.</p> <p>EMAB staff to prepare a document summarizing fish issues and recommendations in a similar format to that which was done for the wildlife issues and recommendations.</p>
<p>Other</p>	<p><b><i>Traditional Knowledge Workshop</i></b>  IEMA has expressed interest in cost sharing a Traditional Knowledge (TK) workshop similar to the wildlife and fish workshops already held by EMAB. This workshop would address issues such as how to integrate TK into monitoring programs. The Board agreed to this proposal, and noted that they will need to get together to plan it.</p> <p><b><i>Carnivore Management</i></b>  DDMI was asked about any carnivore issues that may have come up throughout the year. The Board was informed that there had been no issues to date.</p> <p>DDMI also informed the Board that they will be having a specialist come to the site and do bear awareness information sessions with workers; focusing especially</p>	<p>Inform IEMA that EMAB agrees with the idea of cost sharing a Traditional Knowledge workshop and that both boards will need to work together to plan it.</p>

	<p>on workers who are outside and away from the main buildings. This specialist will also spend some time looking a waste management issues.</p> <p><b><i>Fish Palatability Study</i></b> There was some discussion on this but due to a lack of time it was deferred and should be included on the agenda for the next Board meeting with the Fish Issues discussion.</p>	<p>Fish Palatability Study to be added to the agenda for the upcoming meeting and discussed at a similar time as other Fish Issues.</p>
--	--	---